



Analyzing Factors Influencing Quality 4.0 Development in the Smart Supply Chain Using a Hybrid DEMATEL and Interpretive Structural Modeling (ISM) Approach

Zahra Abbasi Meybodi¹ , Hossein Mohebbi^{2*} 

1. Masters student of Performance Management, Department of Management, Meybod University, Meybod, Iran. stu.zahraabasi@meybod.ac.ir
2. Assistant Prof., Department of Management, Faculty of Human Sciences, Meybod University, Meybod, Iran. h.mohebbi@meybod.ac.ir

OPEN ACCESS

Article type: Research Article

***Correspondence:** Hossein Mohebbi

H.Mohebbi@Meybod.ac.ir

Received: April 28, 2025

Accepted: May 22, 2025

Published: Spring 2025

Citation: Abbasi Meybodi, Z. and Mohebbi, H. (2025). Analyzing Factors Influencing Quality 4.0 Development in the Smart Supply Chain Using a Hybrid DEMATEL and Interpretive Structural Modeling (ISM) Approach. *Strategic Management Accounting*, 2(1), 24-54.

Publisher's Note: MSDS stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published material and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract

In the era of digital transformation and increasing pressures on supply chains, the adoption of emerging technologies to enhance quality and ensure sustainable performance has become a strategic imperative. In this context, "Quality 4.0" emerges as a novel paradigm in quality management, leveraging tools such as the Internet of Things (IoT), Artificial Intelligence (AI), and Big Data to move beyond traditional quality control approaches. This study aims to identify and analyze the key factors influencing the implementation of Quality 4.0 in smart supply chains. To achieve this objective, relevant factors were first extracted through a comprehensive literature review and expert consultation. Subsequently, the causal relationships among the factors were examined using the Decision-Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory (DEMATEL) method, followed by their hierarchical structuring via Interpretive Structural Modeling (ISM). The results offer a practical framework for decision-makers to identify foundational factors and prioritize them in facilitating the effective deployment of Quality 4.0. The findings provide valuable insights for developing innovative strategies that enhance flexibility, transparency, and competitive advantage in complex and dynamic supply chain environments.

Keywords: Quality 4.0, Smart Supply Chain, ISM, DEMATEL

JEL Classification: M10, A22

DOI: [10.22034/smajournal.2025.519941.1018](https://doi.org/10.22034/smajournal.2025.519941.1018)

INTRODUCTION

In the era of digital transformation, supply chains are facing unprecedented pressures due to increased complexity, uncertainty, and the necessity for rapid responsiveness. In this environment, the emergence of "Quality 4.0"- a paradigm integrating modern technologies like the Internet of Things (IoT), Artificial Intelligence (AI), and Big Data with traditional quality management has become a strategic necessity for organizations striving for sustainable excellence. Simultaneously, smart supply chains, leveraging digital technologies, have become critical for achieving competitive advantage. This study aims to identify and analyze the key factors influencing the implementation and development of Quality 4.0 within smart supply chains, offering a strategic framework for organizational decision-makers.

METHODOLOGY

This research is applied in nature and utilizes a descriptive survey methodology for data collection. Initially, an extensive literature review and expert consultations were conducted to identify the critical factors impacting the deployment of Quality 4.0. In the next phase, causal relationships among these factors were analyzed using the Decision-Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory (DEMATEL) method. Subsequently, Interpretive Structural Modeling (ISM) was employed to structure these factors hierarchically. By combining DEMATEL and ISM, this research provides a comprehensive view of the cause-and-effect relationships and prioritization among the identified factors.

RESULTS

The study identified 33 critical factors categorized under six primary dimensions: management, organizational, human resources, technological, financial, and infrastructure factors. DEMATEL analysis revealed that management, technology, and financial resources are causal factors, whereas organizational structure, human resources, and infrastructure are primarily effect factors.

Key findings from the DEMATEL analysis include:

- Management (C1) and Technology (C4) showed the highest impact among causal factors.
- Financial resources (C5) were critical for enabling infrastructure and technological readiness.
- Organizational and human resource factors demonstrated significant dependence, emphasizing the need for supportive leadership and culture.

Through ISM, the factors were structured into four hierarchical levels, with management and technology at the highest level, indicating their pivotal role in driving successful Quality 4.0 implementation. Infrastructure and human resources occupied intermediate levels, highlighting their supportive but dependent roles.

The MICMAC analysis further classified the factors based on their driving power and dependence:

- Management, technology, and financial resources were classified as "independent key factors."
- Organizational, human resource, and infrastructure factors were found to be highly dependent.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that the successful implementation and development of Quality 4.0 in smart supply chains are critically dependent on strong leadership commitment, strategic technological investments, and adequate financial support. Management and technological readiness act as the primary drivers, creating a ripple effect across organizational structures and processes.

Managers are advised to:

- Foster a supportive organizational culture aligned with digital transformation objectives.
- Invest in staff training to overcome resistance to technological changes.
- Prioritize technological infrastructure development alongside financial planning to facilitate Quality 4.0 adoption.

By following the strategic framework presented, organizations can achieve enhanced flexibility, transparency, operational efficiency, and competitive advantage in dynamic market environments.

Contribution of Authors

All authors contributed equally to the writing, editing, and publication process of this article.

Ethical Approval

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants for the publication of their anonymous information in this study.

Sponsor

This research did not receive any financial support.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors sincerely thank all individuals who supported and assisted them in conducting this research.

References

- Abdel-Basset, M., Manogaran, G., & Mohamed, M. (2018). RETRACTED: Internet of Things (IoT) and its impact on supply chain: A framework for building smart, secure and efficient systems. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 86, 614-628. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2018.04.051>
- Abadi, F., Jamali, G., & Qurbanpour, A. (2024). Smart supply chain management under Internet of Things technology with a fuzzy cognitive mapping approach. *Intelligent Business Management Studies*, 12(47), 45-77. (in Persian). <https://doi.org/10.22054/ims.2023.73994.2337>
- Abbasi, A. (2025). Explanation and ranking of quality costing components and indicators in manufacturing companies. *Strategic Management Accounting*, 1(1), 65-82. [in Persian] <https://doi.org/10.22034/smajournal.2025.514935.1006>
- Agrawal, P., Narain, R., & Ullah, I. (2020). Analysis of barriers in implementation of digital transformation of supply chain using interpretive structural modelling approach. *Journal of Modelling in Management*, 15(1), 297-317. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JM2-03-2019-0066>
- Ahmadibabadi, A., Besharate, O., & Farsijanyf, H. (2023). The impact of total quality management on organizational performance in the global class using a hybrid DEMATEL and Interpretive Structural Modeling approach (Case study: Chamran auto parts industry). *Standard and Quality Management*, 12(4), 1-38. (in Persian). <https://doi.org/10.22034/JSQM.2023.391213.1476>
- Akyuz, E., & Celik, E. (2015). A fuzzy DEMATEL method to evaluate critical operational hazards during gas freeing process in crude oil tankers. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 38, 243-253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2015.10.006>
- Aldag, M. C., & Eker, B. (2018, November). What is quality 4.0 in the era of industry 4.0?. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Quality of Life, Kopaonik, Serbia* (pp. 28-30).
- Alzahrani, B., Bahaitham, H., Andejany, M., & Elshennawy, A. (2021). How ready is higher education for quality 4.0 transformation according to the LNS research framework?. *Sustainability*, 13(9), 5169. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13095169>
- Andalib Ardakani, D., Zamzam, F., & Kiani, M. (2024). An analysis of the barriers to the implementation of Quality 4.0 using the fuzzy DANP approach. *Quality Engineering and Management*, 14(4), 304-320 (in Persian). <https://doi.org/10.48313/jqem.2025.217977>
- An Nayyar, V. P. (2016). Data glove: Internet of things (iot) based smart wearable gadget. *British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science*, 15(5).
- Ansari, M. F., Kharb, R. K., Luthra, S., Shimmi, S. L., & Chatterji, S. (2013). Analysis of barriers to implement solar power installations in India using interpretive structural modeling technique. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, 27, 163-174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.07.002>

- Antony, J., Kaul, A., Bhat, S., Sony, M., Kaul, V., Zulfiqar, M., & McDermott, O. (2024). Critical failure factors for Quality 4.0: an exploratory qualitative study. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 41(4), 1044-1062. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-07-2023-0240>
- Antony, J., McDermott, O., & Sony, M. (2022). Quality 4.0 conceptualisation and theoretical understanding: a global exploratory qualitative study. *The TQM Journal*, 34(5), 1169-1188. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-07-2021-0215>
- Antony, J., Sony, M., McDermott, O., Jayaraman, R., & Flynn, D. (2023). An exploration of organizational readiness factors for Quality 4.0: an intercontinental study and future research directions. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 40(2), 582-606. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-10-2021-0357>
- Bahrami, M., Hashemzadeh, G., Shahmansouri, A., & Fathi Hafshejani, K. (2023). Analyzing the components influencing the assessment of Industry 4.0 readiness. *Industrial Management Perspective*, 13(50), 267–298. [in Persian] <https://doi.org/10.48308/jimp.13.2.267>
- Bai, C., & Sarkis, J. (2020). A supply chain transparency and sustainability technology appraisal model for blockchain technology. *International journal of production research*, 58(7), 2142-2162. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1708989>
- Barathi, G., Murugesan, R., & Murugesan, S. (2025). Analysing the drivers for implementing smart supply chain management in Indian industries by using the analytic hierarchy process. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-024-14946-1>
- Bhat, S., Raja, J., Jiju, A., Michael, S., Olivia, M., & Ronald, S. (2022). The genealogy of Quality 4.0. *techrxiv.org*.
- Broday, E. E. (2022). The evolution of quality: from inspection to quality 4.0. *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, 14(3), 368-382. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQSS-09-2021-0121>
- Faheem, M., & Butt, R. A. (2022). Big datasets of optical-wireless cyber-physical systems for optimizing manufacturing services in the internet of things-enabled industry 4.0. *Data in Brief*, 42, 108026. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2022.108026>
- Chen, J. K. (2021). Improved DEMATEL-ISM integration approach for complex systems. *Plos one*, 16(7), e0254694. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0254694>
- Garai, A., & Roy, T. K. (2020). Multi-objective optimization of cost-effective and customer-centric closed-loop supply chain management model in T-environment. *Soft Computing*, 24(1), 155-178. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-019-04289-5>
- Ghazanfari, M. J. S. R. M., Jafari, M., & Rouhani, S. (2011). A tool to evaluate the business intelligence of enterprise systems. *Scientia Iranica*, 18(6), 1579-1590. [in Persian]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scient.2011.11.011>

- Holmström, J., Holweg, M., Khajavi, S. H., & Partanen, J. (2016). The direct digital manufacturing (r) evolution: definition of a research agenda. *Operations Management Research*, 9, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-016-0106-z>
- Horshad, A., Safari, H., & Ghassami, R. (2023). Development of a smart supply chain management model in the fast-moving consumer goods industry. *Industrial Management Perspective*, 13(4), 108-148. [in Persian] <https://doi.org/10.48308/jimp.13.4.108>
- Jacob, D. (2017). *Quality 4.0 Impact and Strategy Handbook*. LNS Research.
- Jamalian, A., Ghadikolaie, A. S., Zarei, M., & Ghasemi, R. (2018). Sustainable supplier selection by way of managing knowledge: a case of the automotive industry. *International Journal of Intelligent Enterprise*, 5(1-2), 125-140. [in Persian] <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJIE.2018.091186>
- Joshi, S., Sharma, M., Bartwal, S., Joshi, T., & Prasad, M. (2024). Critical challenges of integrating OPEX strategies with I4. 0 technologies in manufacturing SMEs: A few pieces of evidence from developing economies. *The TQM Journal*, 36(1), 108-138. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-08-2022-0245>
- Kamble, S. S., Gunasekaran, A., Ghadge, A., & Raut, R. (2020). A performance measurement system for industry 4.0 enabled smart manufacturing system in SMMEs-A review and empirical investigation. *International journal of production economics*, 229, 107853. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107853>
- Kaufmann, L., Carter, C. R., & Rauer, J. (2016). The coevolution of relationship dominant logic and supply risk mitigation strategies. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 37(2), 87-106. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12126>
- Khodoumi, M., Seif, M., & Sahebi, H. (2022). Identifying and determining the interrelationships of influencing factors on just-in-time production in the supply chain using DMATEL and ISM methods (Case study: Iran Khodro Binalood Factory). *Production and Operations Management*, 12(3), 65–91. [in Persian] <https://doi.org/10.22108/JPOM.2022.127907.1355>
- Kouhizadeh, M., Zhu, Q., & Sarkis, J. (2020). Blockchain and the circular economy: potential tensions and critical reflections from practice. *Production Planning & Control*, 31(11-12), 950-966. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2019.1695925>
- Lin, K. M., & Lin, C. W. (2008, October). Cognition map of experiential marketing strategy for hot spring hotels in Taiwan using the DEMATEL method. In *2008 Fourth International Conference on Natural Computation* (Vol. 1, pp. 438-442). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICNC.2008.472>
- Liu, H. C., Liu, R., Gu, X., & Yang, M. (2023). From total quality management to Quality 4.0: A systematic literature review and future research agenda. *Frontiers of Engineering Management*, 10(2), 191-205. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42524-022-0243-z>

- Mandal, A., & Deshmukh, S. G. (1994). Vendor selection using interpretive structural modelling (ISM). *International journal of operations & production management*, 14(6), 52-59. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443579410062086>
- Mehri Namkavarani, O., Kazemi, H., Rezaei, F., & Ehtesham Rathi, R. (2023). Identifying and ranking enablers in smart supply chain. *Development and Transformation Management*, 15(53), 173-180. [in Persian] <https://doi.org/10.22094/jdem.2023.705875>
- Mentes, A., Akyildiz, H., Yetkin, M., & Turkoglu, N. (2015). A FSA based fuzzy DEMATEL approach for risk assessment of cargo ships at coasts and open seas of Turkey. *Safety science*, 79, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2015.05.004>
- Mohammadi, T., Sajjadi, S. M., Najafi, S. E., & Taghizadeh Yazdi, M. R. (2022). Optimization of smart supply chain under vendor-managed inventory policy with an IoT-based technology selection approach. *Industrial Management*, 14(3), 458–483. [in Persian] <https://doi.org/10.22059/IMJ.2022.343552.1007948>
- Mohebbi, H. and Amiri, Y. (2021). Structural Leveling of strategic objectives in Iran Alloy Steel Company. *Journal of Strategic Management Studies*, 12(45), 205-224. [in Persian] DOI: 20.1001.1.22286853.1400.12.45.11.5
- Movahed, A. B., Movahed, A. B., & Nozari, H. (2024). Opportunities and challenges of smart supply chain in Industry 5.0. *Information Logistics for Organizational Empowerment and Effective Supply Chain Management*, 108-138. [in Persian] DOI: 10.4018/979-8-3693-0159-3.ch006
- Namifard Tehran, F. (2025). Blockchain technology model in the management accounting unit of manufacturing companies. *Strategic Management Accounting*, 1(1), 107-138. [in Persian] <https://doi.org/10.22034/smajournal.2025.513561.1004>
- Pasi, B. N., Mahajan, S. K., & Rane, S. B. (2020). Smart supply chain management: a perspective of industry 4.0. *Supply Chain Management*, 29(5), 3016-3030.
- Rahchamani, S. M., Heydariyeh, S. A., & Zargar, S. M. (2022). Designing a model for smart service supply chains using a data-driven approach. *Journal of Industrial Management Perspective*, 12(2), 89–111. (in Persian). <https://doi.org/10.52547/JIMP.12.2.89>
- Rahimi, F., Baghalinejad Shoushtari, M. H., & Naddaf, M. (2020). Designing a business intelligence model using a data-driven approach. *Intelligent Business Management Studies*, 9(34), 247–272. [in Persian] <https://doi.org/10.22054/IMS.2020.53545.1760>
- Roy Ghatak, R., & Garza-Reyes, J. A. (2024). Investigating the barriers to Quality 4.0 adoption in the Indian manufacturing sector: insights and implications for industry and policy-making. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 41(6), 1623-1656. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-09-2023-0277>
- Sadeghi Moghadam, M. R., Sadeghpour Firoozabad, A., & Ghasemian Sahebi, I. (2023). *Evaluation of barriers to implementing Quality 4.0 in the City Book Institute using the*

- Bayesian Best-Worst Method. Journal of New Research in Decision Making*, 8(3), 1-22. [in Persian] https://journal.saim.ir/article_708770.html
- Sader, S., Husti, I., & Daroczi, M. (2022). A review of quality 4.0: Definitions, features, technologies, applications, and challenges. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, 33(9-10), 1164-1182. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2021.1944082>
- Sony, M., Antony, J., Douglas, J. A., & McDermott, O. (2021). Motivations, barriers and readiness factors for Quality 4.0 implementation: an exploratory study. *The TQM Journal*, 33(6), 1502-1515. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-11-2020-0272>
- Sotudeh, R., Haghparast, A. and Hirad, A. (2025). Management Accounting and Resilience Economics Model for Sustainable Development of Manufacturing Companies. *Strategic Management Accounting*, 1(1), 40-64. [in Persian] <https://doi.org/10.22034/smajournal.2025.511901.1003>
- Sotudeh, R. and Abasyan, R. (2024). Explaining the accounting model of strategic management to reduce the costs of manufacturing companies in the country. *Modern Research in Performance Evaluation*, 2(4), 253-262. [in Persian] <https://doi.org/10.22105/mrpe.2024.450062.1093>
- Tadić, D., Kovačević, A., Stanisavljev, S., & Kavalić, M. (2021). Quality challenges 4.0: A review of literature and business practice. *Ekonomski izazovi*, 10(20), 17-41. <https://doi.org/10.5937/EkoIzazov2120017T>
- Talayi, H., Ziaeyan, M., & Malekinejad, P. (2022). Designing a deployment and implementation model for Quality 4.0 using an integrated approach of Interpretive Structural Modeling (ISM) and Structural Equation Modeling (SEM). *Engineering and Quality Management*, 12(1), 51-68. [in Persian] <https://dor.isc.ac/dor/20.1001.1.23221305.1401.12.1.4.4>
- Tsai, W. H., & Chou, W. C. (2009). Selecting management systems for sustainable development in SMEs: A novel hybrid model based on DEMATEL, ANP, and ZOGP. *Expert systems with applications*, 36(2), 1444-1458. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2007.11.058>
- Tzeng, G. H., & Huang, C. Y. (2012). Combined DEMATEL technique with hybrid MCDM methods for creating the aspired intelligent global manufacturing & logistics systems. *Annals of Operations Research*, 197, 159-190. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-010-0829-4>
- Yan, J., Xin, S., Liu, Q., Xu, W., Yang, L., Fan, L., ... & Wang, Q. (2014). Intelligent supply chain integration and management based on cloud of things. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, 10(3), 624839. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/624839>
- Yadav, S., Luthra, S., & Garg, D. (2022). Internet of things (IoT) based coordination system in Agri-food supply chain: development of an efficient framework using DEMATEL-ISM. *Operations management research*, 15(1), 1-27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-020-00164-x>

- Yin, S. H., Wang, C. C., Teng, L. Y., & Hsing, Y. M. (2012). Application of DEMATEL, ISM, and ANP for key success factor (KSF) complexity analysis in R&D alliance. *Scientific Research and Essays*, 7(19), 1872-1890.
[DOI:10.5897/SRE11.2252](https://doi.org/10.5897/SRE11.2252)
- Zhang, G., Yang, Y., & Yang, G. (2023). Smart supply chain management in Industry 4.0: the review, research agenda and strategies in North America. *Annals of operations research*, 322(2), 1075-1117. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-022-04689-1>
- Zarei, H., Rasti-Barzoki, M., & Moon, I. (2020). A mechanism design approach to a buyer's optimal auditing policy to induce responsible sourcing in a supply chain. *Journal of environmental management*, 254, 109721.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109721>